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Introduction

• The volume of digital evidence continues to grow
  – criminal and civil cases (e-discovery), incident response, etc.
• The need for highly trained digital forensic investigators is also increasing
• More and more colleges are offering computer forensic courses
• Good hands-on training is essential to supplement traditional training
• “Good” training must be realistic
• Creating a good lab is tedious
What is a realistic lab?

• Analogy: needle in a haystack
  – Floppy disk: small haystack
  – 40 G disk: big haystack

• Lots of “stuff” happening on a real system
  – Processes, files, deleted files, log entries, timestamps, history of things that happened, connections among them
  – Lots of useless, irrelevant stuff on a system, dead-ends
  – Can’t just scan through with a disk editor
Toools

• Mobile Forensic Platform (DFRWS 2002) developed to allow investigators to perform analysis of a live system remotely.
  • Now available as OnLine Digital Forensic Suite™ and LiveWire Investigator ™.

• We wanted to see if we could create a realistic lab for students to investigate live systems remotely using our tools.

• Used UNO computer forensic class to test it and ATC-NY lab facilities in Ithaca
Classroom Experiment

- Students investigate a single target machine on a network
- Network hidden behind firewall
- OnLineDFS machine behind firewall, only HTML traffic to OnLineDFS permitted inbound through firewall.
- Target machine is actually a virtual machine
  - 2 VMware servers running 3 VMs each
  - 6 student groups
- Created a “simple” scenario
Assignment

• Called in to investigate problems of misuse of computer resources at a company.

• Determine who, where, what, why, when, how, …

• “Penguin pornography” – any depiction of the birds \textit{without} hats.
  – Whimsical “crime” with real world analogy

• (Full text of assignment is in the following slide.)
Assignment

Introduction: In the FreeeZ-E-Q Ice Cube Company, the owner of the machines you will investigate, there has been a rising problem with employees accessing illegal penguin porn. Legally, penguin porn includes any depictions of penguins not wearing hats (the top of a penguin’s head is defined as the “dirty bits”). You are to investigate the target machine and answer questions in the following list. You can assume that any “bad guys” are very technically savvy and have employed many tricks to conceal their activities.

1. Who’s the bad guy?
2. Is there penguin porn on the box?
3. If there’s penguin porn, how is it being distributed?
4. What clever techniques, if any, were used to obscure the activities?
5. (Exhaustively) where on the box is the bad guy storing applications, data associated with their dark, evil crime, or the penguin porn itself?
6. Has the bad guy attempted to implicate anyone else who uses the target machine in the crime? If so, who?
7. What is the numeric IP address of the site of the bad guy’s supplier?
8. Are there any password-protected pages on the supplier’s site? If so, provide URLs, usernames and passwords.
9. What is the exact hostname of the site in question 7? Hint: virtual hosting is probably used, so a simple reverse lookup will not give you the correct answer.
10. What is the name of the supplier of penguin porn? Hint: Not the bad guy mentioned in Q1!

1. What species of penguin does the supplier not have pictures of? Does he say anywhere when he might have this type again?
The Evidence

• Wholesome

• Naughty

Scenario

- 10 users defined (Ann, Bob, Cindy, Dan, …)
- One user, Cindy, is running an illegal web server, (re)named vim, hidden in a software development directory
- DocumentRoot for web server is in another user’s directory (due to world-writable permissions)
- “Dirty” (hatless) pictures in directory with 1000+ flower photos
- Cindy is running lynx (text-only web browser), viewing a password protected page from “the supplier” (URL only present in process memory)
- Account info stored in a ~/.source file. Password stored in comment of jpeg file in rot13 format
- .bash_history shows how password stored (but not what)
- Other users on system compiling and running programs, …
Results

- All students completed assignment
- In debrief, students reported spending 5-20 hours on assignment
- Most students had positive comments about lab (some comments on downtime and speed)
- Most would have liked to see a harder, more complex lab with more dead-ends
- Users not involved in scenario had no .bash_history and little activity – too easy for students to spot
Lessons Learned

- Designing and implementing labs takes a lot of time
- The easiest way to make a proper history trail is to enact the events for real
- Customizing or changing labs (different users, different commands) takes time and is hard to track
- Analyzing OnLineDFS log files is tedious – lots of information to sort through
- Automation tools would help
FALCON

Framework for Laboratory Exercises Conducted Over Networks

3 main components:

1. Lab Creation Tool (LCT)
2. Lab Execution Environment (LEE)
3. Lab Evaluation Tool (LET)
FALCON Cycle

Lab Creation
- scenario
- various users (e.g., malicious, email, web, coder)

Lab Execution
- target computers
- OnLine DFS virtual targets firewall/router

Lab Evaluation
- flags
- metrics (e.g., hours spent on lab)
- other criteria (e.g., methodology, evidence handling, investigation order)

Feedback from instructors and students

Suggested improvements for FALCON exercise

FALCON lab experience and evaluation results
FALCON Lab Creation Tool

- Automatically specify machine details based on configurations
- Generate users by roles, using a list of names
- Generate events by executing command sequences (so history and logs will be accurate)
- “Time warp” (i.e., change the system clock) to get proper timestamps
- Can randomize users (e.g., bad guy) and have events be probabilistic with dependencies on previous events, and time relative to prior events
FALCON Lab Creation Tool

• User specifications

user {
    name = next("Namelist");
    class = web, mail;
    tools = pine, firefox | mozilla, spamassassin;
    timeframe = 2005-03-21 : 2005-05-12;
    onlinehistory = daily*4;
}

• Event specifications

event {
    label = "syslog-nuke";
    probability = 1.0;
    command = "cd /var/logs; rm syslog";
    time = 5min after event("install-backdoor");
}
FALCON Lab Execution Environment – OnlineDFS

Initial Acquisition

1. Network protocol statistics
2. Retrieve time and date
3. General system information
4. Mountable file systems
5. Running process information
6. Process thread information

Running Processes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Threads/Handle/Used (kB)</th>
<th>User Time</th>
<th>Kernel Time</th>
<th>Elapsed Time</th>
<th>Start Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>17.1261</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>77.12.19.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>main.exe</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>00.00.00.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-win.exe</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>00.00.00.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmd.exe</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>00.00.00.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmd.exe</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>00.00.00.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmd.exe</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>00.00.00.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cmd.exe</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>00.00.00.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table information is acquired first. Operations selected by selected access methods supported by the target machine.
FALCON Lab Evaluation Tool

• Help evaluate performance, allows instructor to target specific parts of the investigation
• Generate performance statistics and detect some cheating and collusion.
• Looks for “flags” in OnLineDFS’ logs
  – Verify certain directories or processes were examined
  – Ensure certain “out of bounds” data were not examined
  – Types of things to examine
    • Pattern matches via regular expressions
    • Sequences of events
    • Time intervals (e.g., How much time did students spend on the lab max, min, and average?)
Summary and Future

- **Objective**
  - Give students hands-on lab experience
  - Get feedback on from students
  - Improve the process
- **Results**
  - Identified lab weaknesses
  - Designed framework for automation to reduce effort required for concept and implementation
- **FALCON will help with the creation and modification of labs and initial evaluation of students’ performance and assessing the difficulty level of the lab**
- **Future:** piece it all together!